## Approved For Release 2002/05/06 : CIA-RDP75-00793R000100430018-3

		STATINTL
STATOTHR	26 February 1973	
	# 5	
	Dear Ray,	
	I am startled to lear, that my wife is pestering you concerning our divorce arrangements and is asking you to provide priviledged treatment for her lawyer. I do not feel that my professional relations with your firm enters into the divorce proceedings.	STATOTHR
	My lawyer in the States is an associate of whom you endorsed and recommended in July 1970, as a lawyer who	
STATOTHR	mad had connections with the firm. My lawver. works with in the same legal firm, hut evidently if my wife's lawyer is going to be made privy to matters related to my prefessional	STAT8THR
STATOTHR	life, it would seem normal that you get in touch with my own lawyer, to check matters out with him, so as to avoid confusion that could be prejudicial.	
STATOTHR	I am mailing a copy of my W-2 form for 1972, which ought to satisfy my wife's demands, which should have simply been made through the lawyers. The 1971 income had already been communicated. Thus I do not see that you need to be involved.	
STATINTL	I personally do not feel that the firm should bet involved in matters that are purely personal and that do not relate to my professional life. Initially the divorce was to have been obtained in and we were both dealing with lawyers, who could obviously not come running to you. My wife did not follow-up on these proceedings and the matter is now under Maryland jurisdiction, since no procedure has been instigated in	STATINTL
	I don't see why U.S. lawyers should involve the firm in these divorce matters any more than the lawyers could have.	STATINTL
	Sincerely yours,	STATINTL